The Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Alabama has been conducting research on the effectiveness of grass swales for stormwater sediment transport to quantify swale hydraulic and sediment transport under relatively small flows. This research was supported by the Water Environment Research Foundation and the University Transportation Center of Alabama (this reported research). Grass swales are vegetated open channels that collect and transport stormwater runoff, which can be used alternatives to concrete gutters for stormwater management, because of their advantages of infiltration and filtration of stormwater.

The objective of this research was to understand the effectiveness of grass swales in sediment transport and the associated effects of different swale and hydraulic variables, and to develop a predictive model. To achieve these objectives, experimental grass swales were constructed and tested in an indoor greenhouse facility. The variables tested in the experiments were slope, grass type, depth of flow, sampling time, and length of swales. A water-sediment mixture with a known sediment concentration of sieved sands and fine particles of silica was used to analyze the variables. During the preliminary set of controlled experiments, 108 samples were collected and analyzed for turbidity, total solids, and particle size distributions to investigate the effects of the experimental variables. After completing the initial tests, a second set of controlled experiments was conducted. During this second set of tests, 108 samples were collected and analyzed for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distribution.

To examine how the results obtained from the indoor swale experiments could be applied to full-scale swales, sediment samples were collected during storm events at an outdoor grass swale located near the City Hall of Tuscaloosa, Alabama. Sixty-nine samples collected during 13 storm events from August to December 2004 were analyzed for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distributions. The total suspended solids concentrations observed during rain events showed significant sediment reductions as a function of swale length. The particle size distributions of the suspended solids at the outdoor swale site showed preferential transport of small particles for all lengths of the swale, and preferential trapping of large particles.
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Executive Summary

The Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Alabama has been conducting research on the effectiveness of grass swales for stormwater sediment transport to quantify swale hydraulic and sediment transport under relatively small flows. This research has been supported by the Water Environment Research Foundation (Johnson, et al. 2003) and the University Transportation Center of Alabama (i.e., the research documented in this executive summary). Grass swales are vegetated open channels that collect and transport stormwater runoff. They are often used as an alternative to concrete gutters for stormwater management, such as grass swales in the median of roadways, because of their advantages of infiltration and filtration of stormwater.

The objectives of this research are to understand the effectiveness of grass swales in sediment transport and the associated effects of different swale and hydraulic variables, and to develop a predictive model. To achieve these objectives, experimental grass swales were constructed and tested in an indoor greenhouse facility. The variables tested in the experiments were slope, grass type, depth of flow, sampling time, and length of swales. A water-sediment mixture with a known sediment concentration of sieved sands and fine particles of silica was used to analyze the variables. During the preliminary set of controlled experiments, 108 samples were collected and analyzed for turbidity, total solids, and particle size distributions to investigate the effects of the experimental variables. After completing the initial tests, a second set of controlled experiments was conducted. During this second set of tests, 108 samples were collected and analyzed for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distribution.

To examine how the results obtained from the indoor swale experiments can be applied to full-scale swales, sediment samples were also collected during actual storm events at an outdoor grass swale located adjacent to the City Hall of Tuscaloosa, Alabama. Sixty-nine samples collected during 13 storm events from August to December 2004 were analyzed for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distributions. The total suspended solids concentrations observed during different rain events showed significant sediment reductions as a function of the length of the swale. The particle size distributions of the suspended solids at the outdoor swale site showed preferential transport of small particles for all lengths of the swale, and preferential trapping of large particles.

Those individuals interested in obtaining more information about this research may acquire the full project report (UTCA report 01416-1) from the authors, or from the University Transportation Center for Alabama.
Section 1
Sediment Transport in Grass Swales

Grass swales are vegetated open channels that collect and transport stormwater runoff. They are often used as an alternative to concrete gutters to transport runoff along streets due to their low cost. However, they also offer several advantages in stormwater quality management, especially in their ability to infiltrate runoff. This report describes another benefit of grass swales: their ability to trap particulates during low flows. A series of detailed laboratory tests was conducted to describe sediment transport processes for stormwater grass swales. Field verifications of these processes are described in this paper. As expected, runoff hydraulics, especially depth of flow and length of swale, affect the transport of particulates of different sizes. Shallow flows (less than the grass height) provided consistently high removal rates, while deeper flows (and especially along with relatively low sediment concentrations) had poorer sediment trapping abilities. Obviously, long swales and large particle sizes are an effective combination, but the smallest particles are likely to be effectively transported along most swales. There appeared to be equilibrium concentrations for different particle sizes that were not further reduced, irrespective of swale length. This is likely associated with combinations of scour of material from the underlying soil or of previously trapped sediment, and the carrying capacity of the water.

Methodology

The Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Alabama has been conducting research investigating the effectiveness of grass swales for stormwater sediment transport for several years. This research was initially supported by the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF) (Johnson, et al. 2003) and more recently by the University Transportation Center of Alabama (UTCA). The aims of this research are to understand the effects of different variables affecting sediment transport in grass swales, especially considering different particle sizes, swale features, and flow conditions.

Controlled tests were conducted using specially constructed indoor grass swales and test solutions having known concentrations of sediment with different particle sizes. The test solutions were made using particles of sieved sands (locally acquired) and commercially-sized silica (from U.S. Silica Co.). The indoor swales were adjusted for different slopes, and had three different grasses. Two series of indoor experiments were conducted. The first series was exploratory in nature to identify the most important variables affecting sediment transport, while the second series examined these variables in more detail and was used to develop a sediment transport model.

The first series of tests examined grass type, slope, swale length, time since the beginning of flow, flow rate, particle size, and sediment concentration. The second series of tests focused on fewer grass types, had less variability in sediment concentrations, and composited samples over
the complete test period. The sediment transport processes described in this paper were derived during the second series of experiments.

The second series of indoor swale experiments analyzed 108 samples for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distribution. The results of the indoor swale experiments were verified during monitoring at an outdoor grass swale located adjacent to the Tuscaloosa (Alabama, U.S.A.) City Hall, during actual storm events. The outdoor swale tests included collecting and analyzing 69 samples during 13 storm events from August to December 2004. These samples were analyzed for turbidity, total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distribution.
Section 2
Indoor Experiments

Description of the Experimental Set-up

Experimental swales were constructed in an indoor greenhouse facility located in the Bevil building on the campus of the University of Alabama, as part of a prior research project (Johnson, et al. 2003). Artificial sunlight (ambient UV and visible) is provided, and room temperature is maintained at approximately 78°F (25°C) at this facility. The experimental set up consists of three identical rectangular channels on a base which is adjustable for varying channel slopes. A mixture of 70 percent top soil and 30 percent sand (by weight) was placed in the channel sections which are completely sealed by non-reactive marine-epoxy paint to prevent leakage. Each channel is 2 ft wide (0.6 m), 6 ft long (1.82 m), and 6 in (15 cm) deep and has a specific type of lawn grass. Jason Kirby constructed these swales and tested the grasses for hydraulic resistance during his MSCE thesis (2003).

The swale system hydraulic components produced a well-mixed sheetflow two feet wide. The flow of the test mixture was controlled using a sediment slurry tank, a pump in a 150-gallon (0.57 m³) tank, a mixing chamber, and a preliminary wooden chute, as shown in Figure 2-1. The sediment slurry tank constantly fed a mixture of test sediment into the mixing chamber where it was mixed with water pumped from the 150-gallon (0.57 m³) tank before it flowed into the swale segment.

Sediment Characteristics of the Sediment-water Mixture

Fine-ground silica (SIL-CO-SIL® from US Silica Co.), and with sieved sands were used in the test mixture. Fine-ground silicas obtained from U.S. Silica are naturally bright white, low in moisture, and chemically inert. Two different types of silica, SIL-CO-SIL®106 and SIL-CO-SIL®250 were used. Sieved sands were used to provide larger particles, ranging from 90 to 250 µm and 300 to 425 µm. The sediment concentration of the test flow was set at 500 mg/L, a concentration higher than most stormwaters, to obtain sufficient particles for accurate sizing during the tests. Table 2-1 shows the percentage contribution of the test sediments in different particle ranges, while Figure 2-2 shows the particle size distribution of the test sediment mixture. This mixture therefore had a wide range of particle sizes represented, enabling sediment transport processes to be described for several different size ranges that are represented in typical stormwaters.
Figure 2-1: Overview of indoor experimental set-up

Table 2-1: Percentage contribution and specific gravity of test sediments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Percentage contribution</th>
<th>Specific Gravity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground silica “flour” (SIL-CO-SIL®106)</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground silica “flour” (SIL-CO-SIL®250)</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine silica sand (90 to 250 µm)</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse silica sand (300 to 425 µm)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Factors Tested During the Indoor Experiments

Four main factors were tested during the second series of indoor experiments to quantify the effects of these variables on the transport of specifically-sized sediment in grass swales. These factors were grass type, slope, flow rate, and swale length:

- **Grass types:** The three different types of grass were synthetic turf, Zoysia, and Kentucky bluegrass. Zoysia has a dense root structure that has high wear-tolerance, has a smooth and tough blade, and is commonly found in the Southern part of the United States. Kentucky bluegrass has smooth, upright stems and very fine blades. It is commonly found in the North and Midwest parts of the United States. The grass heights of both Zoysia and Kentucky bluegrass were maintained between 1.5 inches (3.8 cm) to 2 inches (5 cm) during the tests. The synthetic turf was obtained from a local warehouse store. The height of the blades of the synthetic turf was approximately 0.25 inches (0.63 cm) which was much shorter than the other grass types. The blades were made of thin plastic and were uniformly dense.
- **Slopes:** 1 percent, 3 percent, and 5 percent channel slopes were tested.
- **Flow rates:** Flow rates tested were 10 gallons per minute (GPM) (0.038 m³/min), 15 GPM (0.064 m³/min), and 20 GPM (0.076 m³/min), in each of the 2 ft (0.6 m) wide swale sections.
- **Swale length:** Samples were collected at the head works (0 ft), and 2 ft (0.6 m), 3 ft (0.9 m), and 6 ft (1.8 m) from the head works.
Results and Discussion

During the second test series, 108 samples were collected and analyzed for the following analytical parameters:

1. Total solids (*Standard Methods* 2540B)
2. Total solids after screening with a 106 µm sieve
3. Total suspended solids (solids retained on a 0.45 µm filter) (*Standard Methods* 2540D)
4. Total Dissolved Solids (solids passing through a 0.45 µm filter) (*Standard Methods* 2540C)
5. Turbidity using a HACH 2100N Turbidimeter
6. Particle Size Distribution by Coulter Counter (Beckman® Multi-Sizer III™), composite of several different aperture tube measurements

It was difficult to introduce consistent inlet concentrations during all of the experiments. Large particles in the 106 to 425 µm size range had the largest overall variability due to the difficulty of consistently keeping the large particles suspended in the inlet water. Because of this variability, all concentration data were normalized against the initial sediment concentrations. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) tests were performed to determine the effects of the experimental variables on these normalized concentration changes. However, residuals of the ANOVA tests were not normally distributed as required (normality confirmed using the Anderson-Darling test). Therefore, for each swale length, the normalized data were ranked. The ANOVA test was then used on these ranked normalized data to determine the significance of the variables.

Significant sediment reductions were observed at 2 ft (0.6 m), 3 ft (0.9 m), and 6 ft (1.8 m) for total solids, total solids after screening with a 106 µm sieve, total suspended solids (see Figure 2-3), and turbidity. There were no significant changes in total dissolved solids as a function of swale length. Sediments were rapidly reduced between the head works (0 ft) and 2 ft (0.6 m) due to large particle settlement at the beginning of the swale. After each experiment, sands were visually observed up to 1 ft (0.3 m) from the head works. Unlike solids, turbidity reductions were relatively constant with swale length since turbidity was not as affected by large particles.
All variables (swale length, grass type, slope, and flow rate) were found to be significant factors for most of the particulate constituents. In contrast, all variables were found to be insignificant for total dissolved solids. Among the three grass types, synthetic turf was found to be the least effective in trapping the sediment, and Zoysia and Kentucky blue grass had similar sediment reduction rates. The effects of channel slope and flow rate were marginal for total solids and total suspended solids. However, these effects were clearly significant for turbidity. One percent slope was found to be much more efficient in trapping the particulates than three percent and five percent slopes, and the low flow rate of 10 GPM (0.038 m³/min) was more effective in reducing turbidity than the higher flow rates of 15 GPM (0.064 m³/min) and 20 GPM (0.076 m³/min). Some of the interactions between the factors were also important and need to be considered when explaining sediment transport in grass swales. Table 2-2 shows the significant interaction terms and associated probabilities (p-values) for each constituent.

### Table 2-2: Significant interaction factors and associated P-values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Interaction factor</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total solids</td>
<td>Grass type * Slope</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total solids (&lt; 106 µm)</td>
<td>Grass type * Flow rate</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended solids</td>
<td>Flow rate * Slope</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dissolved solids</td>
<td>Grass type * Flow rate</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>Flow rate * Slope</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grass type * Flow rate</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grass type * Slope</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grass type * Flow rate</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The swale length was found to be a significant factor affecting particle size distributions, while the three other factors (flow rate, slope, and grass type) were found to be insignificant. Figure 2-4 shows the median particle sizes of runoff particulates for each swale length. The median particle sizes consistently decreased by swale length, as expected, as the large particles were preferentially removed in the swale.

Figure 2-4: Box-and-whisker plots of median particle sizes vs. swale length (Note 1 ft = 0.30 m)
Section 3
Outdoor Swale Observations

The indoor experiments were conducted to identify the significant factors affecting the transport of sediment in grass swales, and to develop an associated model. The model obtained from the indoor experiments was confirmed through sampling of stormwater at a full-size outdoor grass swale located adjacent to the Tuscaloosa, Alabama, City Hall during actual storm events. Sixty-seven samples were collected at various locations along the swale during 13 storm events from August to December 2004. These samples were analyzed for the same constituents as analyzed during the second indoor tests.

Description of the Site

This full-sized swale had a length of 116 ft (35.3 m) and was covered with Zoysia grass. Although this was a full-sized swale, its drainage area was very small, comprising only 0.1 acres (4,200 ft² or 390 m²) of paved roads. Table 3-1 shows the channel slopes at various swale lengths. The slopes were steeper at the beginning of the swale and flatter at the end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Swale region</th>
<th>Mean channel slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 5 ft (0 to 1.5 m)</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 ft (1.5 to 3.0 m)</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 70 ft (3 to 21.3 m)</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 116 ft (21.3 to 35.3 m)</td>
<td>1.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A soil survey conducted at the outdoor swale found the soil to be compacted loamy sand, using with U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Classification methods. In addition to surveying the slope and topsoil of the grass swale, infiltration rates of the swale were measured using small double-ring infiltrometers (Turf-Tec, Inc). The infiltration tests were conducted during both dry and wet conditions. Most of the infiltration rates were much less than one inch/hour (2.54 cm/hour), resulting in little runoff infiltration during the storm events. The detailed soil survey found sediment accumulation at the head of the swale, with grass growing through the top of the accumulated sediments. During storm events, the accumulated sediment created a small puddle at the head of the swale preventing large particles from entering the swale due to initial sedimentation.

Sample Collection and Analytical Methods

A total of 67 samples were collected at 0 ft, 2 ft (0.6 m), 3 ft (0.9 m), 6 ft (1.8 m), 25 ft (7.6 m), 75 ft (22.8 m), and 116 ft (35.3 m) during 13 storm events from August 22, 2004 to December 8,
2004. However, not all events had a complete set of samples. During some events, runoff was insufficient at the time of sampling at specific locations for collection of a runoff sample.

The samples were analyzed for the following parameters:

1. Total solids (Standard Methods 2540B)
2. Total solids after sieving with a 106 µm sieve
3. Total suspended solids (solids retained on a 0.45 µm filter) (Standard Methods 2540C)
4. Total dissolved solids (solids passing through a 0.45 µm filter) (Standard Methods 2540D)
5. Turbidity using a HACH 2100N Turbidimeter
6. Particle Size Distribution by Coulter Counter (Beckman® Multi-Sizer III™), composite of several different aperture tube measurements

All samples were split using a USGS/Dekaport cone splitter to ensure accurate divisions of the particulate-laden water before analyses.

Results and Discussion

While collecting samples, sediment reduction with increasing swale length was visually observed during most of the events. Figure 3-1 shows runoff samples and sediments captured on glass fiber filters at various swale lengths, collected on October 11, 2004. The sediments captured on filters, for samples obtained near the swale entrance, were solid black suggesting dusts from paved roads, while the color of sediment captured at 116 ft (35.3 m) was light brown, primary caused by top soil erosion from the swale. It was clear that runoff sediments were captured as the stormwater passed through the grass swale, but soil erosion also occurred at longer swale distances.

Total Suspended Solids

Figures 3-2 and 3-3 illustrate research results for suspended solids. Initial total suspended solids at the entrance of the swale varied during different rain events, ranging from 4 mg/L to 157 mg/L (see Figure 3-2). High sediment reductions were normally observed between 0 ft and 25 ft (7.6 m). Beyond 25 ft (7.6 m), there was much less sediment reduction in the grass swale. During two events (10/23/2004 and 11/11/2004), total suspended solids increased between 0 ft and 6 ft (1.8 m) instead of decreasing, likely due to scouring of previously deposited sediments at the entrance of the swale. An unusual sediment increase of 51 mg/L between 25 ft (7.6 m) and 75 ft (22.8 m) was observed on 12/08/2004. During this sampling period, the rain intensity and runoff flow rate significantly increased after collecting the upgradient samples. The higher flow rate likely scoured the top soil of the swale, resulting in much higher TSS concentrations at 75 ft (22.8 m) than at 25 ft (7.6 m) during that event.
Figure 3-1: Sampling locations at the outdoor swale monitoring site
(Example sediment samples from 10/11/2004)
Figure 3-2: Total suspended solids concentrations vs. swale length, observed at the outdoor grass swale (Note 1 ft = 0.30 m)

Figure 3-3: Box-and-whisker plots of total suspended solids concentrations vs. swale length observed at the outdoor grass swale (Note 1 ft = 0.30 m)
Figure 3-3 indicates that the concentrations were highly variable during the first three feet of the swale, significantly decreased between 3 ft (0.9 m) and 25 ft (7.6 m), and then decreased only slightly more to the end of the 116 ft (35.3 m) swale.

**Turbidity**

Significant reductions in turbidity were observed at the outdoor swale, as shown in Figure 3-4. Although initial turbidity at the entrance ranged from 2 NTU to 137 NTU, all turbidity values (except on 12/08/2004) were reduced below 20 NTU at 116 ft (35.3 m). Increased turbidity at 75 ft (22.8 m) on 12/08/2004 was due to scouring of the top soil during an intermittent period of high flows, as mentioned previously.

**Particle Size Distribution**

Observations at the outdoor swale confirmed that grass swales preferentially remove larger particles, as expected (Figure 3-5 and 3-6). Figure 3-6 shows that most of the median particle sizes are significantly reduced between 3 ft (0.9 m) and 25 ft (7.6 m). However, there was no noticeable change in particle size between the swale entrance and 6 ft (1.8 m) due to possible scouring, and beyond 25 ft (7.6 m) due to equilibrium concentrations being reached.
Figure 3-5: Median particle sizes vs. swale length, observed at the outdoor grass swale (Note 1 ft = 0.30 m)

Figure 3-6: Example particle size distributions for different swale lengths, observed on December 6, 2004
Section 4  
Sediment Trapping Model Development and Verification

The primary purpose of conducting the controlled indoor experiments was to develop a model that predicts sediment reduction of stormwater in actual grass swales. A model was developed using the analytical results (total solids, total solids less than 106 µm, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, and particle size distribution analysis) obtained during the indoor experiment.

Concepts

During both the indoor experiments and the outdoor measurements, it was observed that sediment reductions were much higher at the beginning of the grass swales and then leveled off with flow distance. Thus, first order decay was applied to predict the reduction of runoff sediment as a function of swale length in order to normalize the effects of the different entrance sediment concentrations. The following is the first-order decay as applied to sediment transport in grass swales:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{in}}} \right) = -kt \]  

(1)

Where:

- \( C_{\text{out}} \) = Sediment concentration at down-gradient sampling locations
- \( C_{\text{in}} \) = Initial sediment concentration at the swale entrance
- \( k \) = First order constant
- \( t \) = Swale length in feet from the swale entrance

First-order constants (and associated percent reductions) were computed for each experimental test and observation. To understand sediment removal in grass swales, the constants and percent reductions were calculated for eight different particle size ranges:

1. < 0.45 µm (total dissolved solids)
2. 0.45 to 2 µm
3. 2 to 5 µm
4. 5 to 10 µm
5. 10 to 30 µm
6. 30 to 60 µm
7. 60 to 106 µm
8. 106 to 425 µm

Settling Frequency

To incorporate the effects of particle sizes, swale length, flow depth and flow velocity on sediment transport, the number of chances for particulates to theoretically settle in the grass
swale was added to the sediment transport model. The number of chances for particulates settle in a certain swale length is called “settling frequency.” It is computed using Stokes’ law, considering length of swale and velocity, flow depth, and settling velocity of particles, as shown below.

Stokes’ Law:

\[
V_s = \frac{2}{9} \left( \frac{R^2 \cdot g \cdot (P_p - P_f)}{U} \right)
\]

Where:

- \( V_s \) = Settling velocity of a particle (cm/s)
- \( R \) = Radius of a particle (cm)
- \( g \) = Gravitational constant = 980 cm/s\(^2\)
- \( P_p \) = Density of a particle = 2.65 g/cm\(^3\) (assuming silica)
- \( P_f \) = Density of fluid = 1.0 g/cm\(^3\) (assuming water at standard temperature conditions)
- \( U \) = Dynamic viscosity = 0.01 g/(cm*s) (assuming water at standard temperature conditions)

The time a certain particle requires to settle in a grass swale is therefore:

\[
\text{Settling duration} = \frac{\text{flow depth}}{\text{settling velocity}}
\]

The travel time a certain particle takes from the beginning to the end of a swale is:

\[
\text{Traveling time} = \frac{\text{swale length}}{\text{flow velocity}}
\]

Therefore:

\[
\text{Settling frequency} = \frac{\text{traveling time}}{\text{settling duration}}
\]

**Predictive Model**

The relationship between flow depth and grass height was found to be very important since it considers flow rate, slope, and runoff contact area with the grass. To determine the effect of the flow depth/grass height ratio, averaged flow depth/grass height ratios were computed for each experimental condition. Percent reduction-settling frequency plots were then created for three distinct flow depth/grass height ratio categories: 0 to 1.0, 1.0 to 1.5, and 1.5 to 4. Ratios less than 1 indicate that grass height is higher than the flow depth. Kirby (2003) determined Manning’s roughness factors for these low flow conditions so the flow depth can be accurately calculated. In addition to flow rates and the flow depth/grass height ratio, shear stress and slope were examined to determine their significance on sediment trapping. It was found that shear stress and slope were insignificant factors. Therefore, settling frequency and the flow depth/grass height ratio were utilized for developing equations for modeling sediment reductions in grass swales. Initial sediment concentrations of the indoor swale experiments were much higher than the outdoor
swale. Thus, the following sediment reduction equations are limited to high initial sediment concentrations (about 500 mg/L) for different flow depth/grass height ratio ranges:

**Flow depth/grass height ratio: 0 to 1.0**

\[ Y = 2.101 \log(X)^2 + 6.498 \log(X) + 76.82 \quad (6) \]

Where:

- \( Y \) = Percent reduction
- \( X \) = Settling frequency

Table 4-1 and Figure 4-1 illustrate the strength of the statistical validation of equation 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10765.9</td>
<td>5382.93</td>
<td>32.98</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>23177.0</td>
<td>163.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33942.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sequential Analysis of Variance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7728.35</td>
<td>42.16</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3037.51</td>
<td>18.61</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-1: Normal probability plot and residual plot of the residuals vs. fitted values for the (flow depth)/(grass height) ratio between 0 to 1.0
Flow depth/grass height ratio: 1.0 to 1.5

\[ Y = 8.692 \times \log(X) + 80.94 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where:
- \( Y \) = Percent reduction
- \( X \) = Settling frequency

Table 4-2 and Figure 4-2 illustrate the strength of the statistical validation of equation 7.

**Table 4-2: Statistical values for predictor equation (7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9986.4</td>
<td>9986.44</td>
<td>44.36</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13957.0</td>
<td>225.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23943.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Normal probability plot and residual plot](image)

**Figure 4-2: Normal probability plot and residual plot of the residuals vs. fitted values for the (flow depth)/(grass height) ratio between 1.0 to 1.5**

Flow depth/grass height ratio: 1.5 to 4.0

\[ Y = 2.382 \times \log(X)^2 + 15.47 \times \log(X) + 67.46 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where:
- \( Y \) = Percent reduction
- \( X \) = Settling frequency
Table 4-3 and Figure 4-3 illustrate the strength of the statistical validation of equation 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48358.8</td>
<td>24179.4</td>
<td>144.68</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>21893.5</td>
<td>167.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>70252.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sequential Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45055.2</td>
<td>236.03</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3303.5</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-3: Statistical values for predictor equation (8)

Figure 4-3: Normal probability plot and residual plot of the residuals vs. fitted values for the (flow depth)/(grass height) ratio between 1.5 to 4.0

Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 show the residual plots. The residuals were found to be normally distributed, except for the flow depth/grass height ratio 0 to 1.0 due to several larger residuals at the extreme condition. Log-transformations were attempted on the percentage trapping values on the y-axis, however, this did not improve the distribution of residuals. The variability of the residuals was smaller at higher percent reduction rates than for smaller rates. This was because the percent reduction values could not be greater than 100 percent although the settling frequency increased.

Figure 4-4 shows the regression lines and 95 percentile confidence intervals of the three flow depth/grass height ratios, and the percentage reductions for total dissolved solids (particles < 0.45 µm). The percent reduction increased as the flow depth/grass height ratio decreased. This was especially true for lower settling frequencies, while the differences in the regression lines become negligible when the settling frequency was above 10.
Figure 4-4: Comparison of regression lines with 95% confidence intervals for different (flow depth)/(grass height) ratios.
Section 5
Comparisons of Indoor and Outdoor Swale Observations

Since the drainage area of the outdoor swale was very small, most of the events had shallow flows below the average grass height of one inch, which falls into the 0 to 1.0 flow depth/grass height ratio. Thus, the regression line and 95 percentile confidence intervals of the indoor swale for 0 to 1.0 flow depth/grass height ratio were compared with the outdoor swale observations shown in Figure 6-1. There was a significant difference between the indoor and outdoor swale performances. The percentage reductions of particulates at the outdoor swale were much lower than for the indoor swale, especially for low settling frequencies. This was assumed to be primary due to the lower initial sediment concentrations at the outdoor swales (ranging from 4 to 157 mg/L TSS), compared to the initial sediment concentrations during the indoor swale experiments (ranging from 193 mg/L to 1,021 mg/L TSS).

![Particulate Transport in Grass Swale](image)

**Figure 5-1:** Regression with 95 % confidence intervals for the low and high initial sediment concentrations (high concentrations from the second indoor experiment, average of 500 mg/L, range of 200 to 1,000 mg/L; low concentrations from the outdoor swale observations, average of 60 mg/L, range of 10 to 160 mg/L)
Section 6
Conclusions

Compared with conventional concrete gutters, grass swales offer several advantages in stormwater quality management as well as cost efficiency. Grass swales are excellent for reducing stormwater pollutants by both infiltration and filtration, depending on the soil, grass, and flow conditions. This summary report documents the examination of sediment concentration reductions during shallow flows in grass swales.

The indoor swale experiments revealed the significant factors affecting sediment transport in grass swales (swale length, grass type, channel slope, and runoff flow rate). Interactions between the factors were found to be important as well. Large particles, such as sands, were easily trapped at the beginning of the swales under most flow conditions, while smaller particles were likely to be carried greater distances along the swales. Models for predicting sediment reductions were developed based on the particle settling frequency and the flow depth/grass height ratio.

Significant sediment reductions were observed in the grass swales. However, the outdoor swale monitoring revealed that sediment reductions also depend on initial sediment concentrations. Greater sediment reduction rates were observed for higher initial sediment concentrations.

Those individuals interested in obtaining more information about this research may acquire the full project report (UTCA report 01416-1) from the authors, or from the University Transportation Center for Alabama.
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